Agency Oth Department Lab The on teccpro Ver The that deven		of Labor's (VDOL) cupftware. The system ative to the demands ith Idaho and North In Dakota consortium ms, tool sets, developf a comprehensive lat includes all proces	urrent Unemploymer was written in the 1 s placed on the syste Dakota on the development methodological benefits/tax/appe	980s and remains come by ever changing opment of a UI Modulop of a flexible mules, and developmentals system.	onstrained by the federal and State dernization system. ti-state UI system at languages. The final
Department Lab The on tecc prover The that development One main tect prover the tect prover	e Vermont Department legacy hardware and so hnology of that era related and requirements. The vermont has partnered with the vermont/Idaho/Northet utilizes modern system velopment will consist of the Integrated System that nagement, and electrons.	oftware. The system ative to the demands ith Idaho and North In Dakota consortium ms, tool sets, develoof a comprehensive Lat includes all proces	was written in the 1 splaced on the syste Dakota on the developped approach is to development methodological benefits/tax/appe	nt Insurance (UI) pro 980s and remains co em by ever changing opment of a UI Mod lop of a flexible mul es, and developmentals system.	decessing system runs constrained by the federal and State dernization system. ti-state UI system at languages. The final
Description Description The on tecc prover the that development the theta development the theorem the t	e Vermont Department legacy hardware and so hnology of that era relator and so gram requirements. The vermont has partnered with the vermont/Idaho/Northet utilizes modern system velopment will consist on the lateral system that nagement, and electrons.	oftware. The system ative to the demands ith Idaho and North In Dakota consortium ms, tool sets, develoof a comprehensive Lat includes all proces	was written in the 1 splaced on the syste Dakota on the developped approach is to development methodological benefits/tax/appe	980s and remains come by ever changing opment of a UI Modulop of a flexible mules, and developmentals system.	dernization system. ti-state UI system ti languages. The final
Description Very The that development on tects of the that development of the theta development of the theta development of the theta development of the theta development of the the theta development of the the theta development of the t	legacy hardware and so hnology of that era rela- gram requirements. mont has partnered with e Vermont/Idaho/North t utilizes modern system relopment will consist of e Integrated System that nagement, and electron	oftware. The system ative to the demands ith Idaho and North In Dakota consortium ms, tool sets, develoof a comprehensive Lat includes all proces	was written in the 1 splaced on the syste Dakota on the developped approach is to development methodological benefits/tax/appe	980s and remains come by ever changing opment of a UI Modulop of a flexible mules, and developmentals system.	onstrained by the federal and State dernization system. ti-state UI system at languages. The fina
ma Imp	nagement, and electro	· ·	sses (benefits, tax, ar	nd appeals), data va	lidation, case
Key Project Deliverables Inc ina res Imp	erove demographics and dularized system enable erally required changes eater system functional nimizing tax process stem access and opporting access and opporting propriate access and opporting access and opporting propriate access access access access access access access and opporting propriate access ac	d profiling of data to ling simplified mainters easier. ity by automating we sps and current number to be lowering number poportunity for humaniccess, while lowering with real-time cross researches with reduced trains	be a stronger partness renance of business representations and case assumed to the case assumed the case as a strong time and user as a strong time as	er for Workforce Decules and the ability signment, tracking our reports. nents/overpayment al intervention, and uestions to staff. hiring and earnings)	to make State and f case history, and s, lessening increasing employer and timelier wage
Imp	proved compliance with	n federal performand	ce standards.		
	4/2016		Scheduled	d Completion Date	7/01/2021
ndependent Review Re	port Available on EPM	O Website?			Yes
PROJECT STATUS					
Exploration	Initiating	Planni	ng Exe	ecution	Closing
PROCUREMENT STATUS					
Pre-RFP	RFP	Vendo Selecti		ontract otiation	Contract Signed
Procured Solution/Soft	ware Name:	State of Idaho's it			
Implementation Vendor	Name:		effort between VT, for staffing resource pers).		
KEY PROJECT CHANGES Implementation of the it					

and developing state specific modules. Progress has been slow due to delays in receiving the next release from Idaho. The Consortium is working towards becoming better organized around governance and priorities with active participation across all three states. Resource constraints continue to be an issue for the project as legacy staff retire and the State of Vermont hires new staff. Additional resource constraints in Idaho also impact the teams ability to make progress.

BUSINESS VALUE TO BE ACHIEVED

- ☑ Cost Savings: Over the lifecycle of the new solution, the total costs will be less than the current solution.
- ☑ Customer Service Improvement: The new solution will provide a new or improved customer service or services.
- ☑ **Risk Reduction**: The new solution will reduce risk to the State (e.g., replace outdated technology that is unstable and/or difficult to support, improve security of State data, etc.)
- ☑ **Compliance**: The new solution meets a previously unmet State or federal compliance requirement.

PROJECT APPROACH (How the Project Work is/will be Organized)

Idaho built the CORE iUS (Benefits) system in 2012-2013 and put it into production in 2014. Idaho developed iUS using the same Microsoft tools (.Net) that VDOL uses for its current web facing functions (e.g., claimant portal). The Consortium's approach is to build a multi-state CORE iUS product. This approach would allow other states to use the CORE iUS system and, like ID, ND, and VT, integrate specific state modules and interfaces to the CORE iUS system.

VDOL has contracted for project management, business analyst, and system developer resources. The VDOL UIM PM, business lead, and technical leads will head up the VDOL project Team and work directly in collaboration with their peers from the other two states in the consortium. A governance group for the Consortium has also been established with representatives from each state (VDOL's business lead for VT). Where possible, the consortium will conduct business remotely via web conference and utilize Microsoft's Team Foundation Server (TFS) along with SharePoint to track and manage the work. The Consortium continues the work of defining governance relative to the project.

Over the next 12-24 months, the VT/ID/ND consortium will enhance the CORE iUS system to incorporate additional UI Benefit features, integrate Idaho's AIMS Tax system into CORE iUS, and develop state specific interfaces to the CORE iUS product. In addition, each state will have several individual responsibilities such as developing any state specific modules they need to integrate with iUS, system hosting, and ongoing support of their instance of the iUS system.

MAJOR PROJECT MILESTONES					
Milestone	Target Date	Current Status			
IT-ABC Approval	4/14/2014	Completed 4/1/2016			
	(VT/MD/WV scope)	(VT/ID/ND scope)			
Mathtech Contract for initial project resources required (PM and BA)	1/16/2015	Base Contract Completed			
Amendment 1 to increase max amount		1/16/2015			
Amendment 2 to procure 4 Developers		Amendment 1 Completed			
 Amendment 3 to eliminate Project Manager from contract 		7/31/2015			
		Amendment 2 Completed			
		March 2018			
		Amendment 3 Completed			
		December 2018			
Project Charter (Based on VT/ID/IA consortium)	7/1/2015	Completed 1/14/2016			
Independent Review	7/18/2016	Completed 8/10/2016			
Idaho Contract	7/30/2016	Completed 10/12/2016			
		Amendment 1 Completed			
		12/21/2018			
Initial Gap Analysis/Requirements Review/Scoping Sessions	7/2016 - 11/2018	Completed			
CSG Government Solutions Contract for PM services	11/5/2018	Base Contract Completed			
C3G GOVERNMENT SOLUTIONS CONTRACT FOR PIVI SERVICES	11/3/2016	11/5/2018			
Organizational Change Management and Communications	7/2016 –2021	In Progress			

Iterative	e Des	sign/Development/	'Unit	Testing				12/2016 –2021			In Progress/Iterative Process	
Integrat	Integration Testing								-2021	In	Progress/Iterative Process	
User Acceptance Testing 5/2020-2021											Future	
Training 9/2020-2021											Future	
Implementation 2021											Future	
Post Deployment Activities (defect resolutions) & Closing Phase 2021									Future			
	<u> </u>	PLEMENTATION CO		,		<u> </u>						
				Ехр	ense	2					Total Cost	
Softwar	e/Lic	enses (Win Server,	SQL	•		-				\$18,616.00		
		•		, ,,,							, -,	
Services (detailed below): • IT Development Idaho DOL Contract										\$4,275,000.00		
		htech (PM, BA, SD)									\$5,334,400.00	
		Government Solut		Project Man	20AM	nent)					\$393,120.00	
		Software Developm		i roject iviario	agen	icity					\$895,393.00	
	•	aman (Staff Augme		n / Systom T	octo	rc)					\$500,000.00	
				•	este	13)					\$50,000.00	
		ırity/Vulnerability 1	resur	ig .								
State La		atal Duaisat Imaulau		ation Costs							\$3,696,391.83	
		otal Project Impler	nenta	ation Costs							\$15,162,920.83	
		ERATING COSTS		CN Colum								
Estimated Annual Operating Costs of New Solution											D:#*	
Ct-t- L-		Expense				New Solution Co		Current Solut		Difference		
State La						\$732,160	-		5,200.00	-\$183,040.00		
Hardware						\$160,000.00 \$300,000.00						
Software						\$54,461			6,194.00		-\$41,733.00	
						Total Savings or II	ncre	ase			-\$364,773.00	
		UTION COSTS (WIT				· ·						
Fiscal Year		Implementation Costs	Funding Source for Implementation Costs				Ор	Operating Costs Funding			g Source for Operating Costs	
Costs As of FY: End	19	\$8,058,450.83										
FY20		3,552,235.00	Stat	e %:0.00	Nor	n-SOV %:100			State %:0.00		Non-SOV %:0.00	
FY21		3,552,235.00		e %:0.00		n-SOV %:100					Non-SOV %:0.00	
FY22				e %:0.00	_	n-SOV %:0.00		\$946,621.00			Non-SOV %:100	
FY23				e %:0.00	_	n-SOV %:0.00		\$946,621.00	State %:0.00		Non-SOV %:100	
FY24			Stat	e %:0.00	Nor	n-SOV %:0.00		\$946,621.00	State %:	%:0.00 Non-SOV %:100		
Remaini	ing	\$0.00						\$16,092,557.00				
Costs		\$0.00						(17 years @				
Total		\$15,162,920.83			+			operating costs) \$18,932,420.00 = 9		See Total Lifecycle Below		
	n Life	ecycle in Years	20	Lifecycle		ts (total of all cost				300 100	\$34,095,340.83	
		OURCE DETAIL FOR				•		•			75-7,055,5-0.05	
	10 30		IIVIF			SION Fund Code)		Amount Recei	ivod	Euturo	Amount Anticipated	
FY Funding Source Description (V FEDERAL FUND Grant Funds (U) Insurance Progr (MD/WV) - Orig Retained \$2.26 Implementation Fund#: UI23924				JNDII s (Un rogra Origi 2.26N ation	NG: Federal demployment dam Letter 2413 dal: \$6M; M for (MathTech)		\$2,226,110.71			\$0.00		

				123924OJ onsortiun	•									
15	Federal Fund	ı	(L Pr In U	Federal Grant Funds (Unemployment Insurance Program Letter 13-14 - \$1.25M - Implementation) Fund #: UI264261460A50 / UI26426SZO; UI Modernization Consortium Activities.					\$1,250,0	000.00	\$0.00			
16-18	Federal Fund	ederal Fund			Federal Funding: Federal UIPL 19-16; Fund # UI278893K1; UI Modernization Consortium Activities. Money received for consortium activities to enhance components of the new UI system. Includes money for business requirements, development, and testing.				\$907,620.00			\$0.00		
17-23	Federal Fund	I	1 N A cc de	7; Fund # loderniza ctivities.	received es to fina ing, and); UI for	\$3,428,000.00			\$0.00				
17-19	.9 Federal Fund			UI Modernization Grants Funds from 2010; ARRA Funds; Fund #Section 903(f) of SSA ARRA of 2009 Public Law 111-5; 100% Federal UI Modernization Funds; Original amount: \$9,278,599.				\$9,278,599.00			\$0.00			
ELINIDIA	IG SOURCE DE	TAIL FOR	ODERA	TING CO	STS /NAA		TAL =		\$17,090,3	29.71			\$0.00	
FUNDIN		ng Sourc				ION Fund			ınt Recei	ved	Future Ar	nount Ant	icipated	
	NNUAL Federal Fund			UI Admin Funds co	istrative ver all U ance exp	Funding I operation	; on and	~7,000,000.00 (ANNUALLY)			\$18,932,420 (\$946,621 PER YEAR X 20 YEARS)			
					T	OTAL =				\$18,932,420.00				
	T PERFORMAI				ORMAN	ICE TREN	D							
Past 12 Indicate	Months Base	Oct'18	10'S Asso Nov'18	1	Jan'19	Feb'19	Mar'19	Apr'19	May'19	Jun'19	July'19	Anglin	Sep'19	
Scope	01	Oct 18	1107 18	Dec 18	Jan 19	Feb 19	Mar 19	Apr 19	1VIAY 19	Jun 19	July 19	Aug'19	Sep 19	
Schedu	le													
Budget														

Explanation(s) for Red Months								
What	When	Reason						
< <scope>></scope>	Oct '18	Constant changes in scope had an adverse impact on the schedule during this reporting period. In December of 2018 a contract amendment with the State of Idaho resulted in scope changes with some scope being deferred until post deployment. Then in September of 2019 the State of Vermont made the decision to accept the Consortium Claimant Portal from Idaho in place of the VT Claimant Portal. The result of this decision has led to additional requirements work and delays to the implementation schedule.						
< <schedule>></schedule>	Oct '18	Constant changes in scope due to decisions made by both the Vermont and Idaho teams has resulted in an extended timeline. In addition, the lack of transparency into the Idaho roadmap has presented challenges prohibiting the Vermont team to accurately estimate the implementation schedule for the project.						
< <budget>></budget>	Mar '19	Financial review and analysis has been performed to capture base grant, subsequent SBR funding and expenditures to date to ensure viability of the program. Projections at the current burn rate indicate there is adequate funding to meet a projected date of 9/30/2020, however, this does not consider additional resources or an extension beyond the end of Q3 2020. A deeper analysis of project expenses and resource utilization is required.						